

## Appendix to § 4.3.

Recall  $m_{\text{uv}}$  (with  $m(E) \leq +\infty$ ),  $f \in M\bar{F}^+(E)$

$$(*) \int_E f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ \int_E h : h \in \mathcal{BM}_d(E), 0 \leq h \leq f \text{ on } E \right\}$$

and we have established the basic properties about this integral (e.g. additivity & monotonicity etc). You should be able to see that, when  $0 \leq h \in \mathcal{BM}_0(E)$ ,  
(definitions of)  
 the integral  $\int_E h$  given in § 2 & in § 3  
 are

~~are~~ consistent (well-defined). Since

$$\mathcal{S}^+(E) \subseteq M\bar{F}^+(E)$$

the definition (\*) is applicable  $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}^+(E)$ .

$f = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j \chi_{B_j}$  (canonical representation,  $B_j \subset E$   
 etc.), By additivity (of § 3), one has

$$\int_E f = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j m(B_j)$$

Since  $0 < b_j$  ~~&~~  $b_j$  it follows that  $\int_E f < +\infty$   
 if each  $m(B_j) < +\infty$ , i.e.  $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^+(E)$

( $f$  is nonnegative and vanishes outside a set of finite measure).

Lemma 1. Let  $f \in M\mathcal{F}^+(E)$ . Then

$$\int_E f = \sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0^+(E), \varphi \leq f \text{ on } E \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^+(E), \varphi \leq f \text{ on } E \right\}$$

Pf. Let  $s_1, s_2$  denote the above two sup respectively. Then  $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \int_E f$  by the monotonicity (§ 3) and  $\mathcal{S}_0^+(E) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^+(E) \subseteq M\mathcal{F}^+(E)$ . Moreover let  $h \in \mathcal{BM}_0(E)$  with  $0 \leq h \leq f$  on  $E$ . Then

$$\int_E h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi : 0 \leq \varphi \leq h \text{ on } E \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0(E) \right\}$$

$$\leq s_1$$

and it follows from (\*) that  $\int_E f \leq s_1$ . QED.

Note. The expressions given in Lemma 1 are in terms of material of § 1, so results of § 3 can be based on § 1 — without reference of § 2.

# Monotone Convergence Th (without Fatou)

Let  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow_n f$  a.e. on  $E$  with each  $f_n \in M\mathcal{F}^+(E)$ .  
 (replacing  $E$  by some  $E \setminus A$  with  $m(A) = 0$  if  
 nece. we assume that a.e. is in fact pointwise  
 and all functions take values in  $[0, +\infty]$ ).  
 By the given and the  
 monotonicity (of  $\mathcal{S}_3$ ), the limit (exists in  $[0, +\infty]$ )

$$\lim_n \int_E f_n \leq \int_E f.$$

Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  ("near" to 1). It is sufficient  
 to show that

$$\delta \cdot \int_E f \leq \lim_n \int_E f_n$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_0^+(E)$ ,  $\varphi \leq f$  on  $E$ . In view of Lemma 1,  
 we need only to show that

$$\delta \cdot \int_E \varphi \leq \lim_n \int_E f_n. \quad (2)$$

Note that  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  and  $A_n \uparrow_n$  measurable.  
 where  $A_n = \{x \in E : (\delta(\varphi)(x) \leq f_n(x)\}$  (pl. check  
 two cases:  $\varphi(x) = 0$  or  $\varphi(x) > 0$ ).

Since  $\lambda: A \mapsto \int_A \varphi$  is a measure and  
 the Monotone Conv. Lemma for measure  
 tells us that  $\leftarrow$  the limit on the right  
can be  $\limsup$  or  $\liminf$

$$\int_E \delta\varphi = \lim_n \int_{A_n} \delta\varphi \leq \lim_n \int_{A_n} f_n \leq \lim_n \int_E f_n$$

$\delta\varphi \leq f_n \text{ on } A_n$

so (2) is shown.

Fatou's Lemma  $0 \leq f_n \in M^+_E(E)$  and  
 $\liminf_n f_n(x) = f(x)$  a.e  $x$  in  $E$ . Then

$$\int_E f \leq \liminf_n \int_E f_n$$

Pf. Again, we use ptwise instead of a.e.  
 Let  $g_N = \inf_{n \geq N} f_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be defined  
 ptwise:

$$g_N = \inf \{ f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N \}$$

Then each  $g_N \in M^+_E(E)$  and  $g_N \uparrow$  with  
 $f = \bigvee_{N \in \mathbb{N}} g_N$ . Then, by MC Th, Same as "limit"

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f &= \lim_N \int_E g_N = \bigvee_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge_{n \geq N} \int_E g_n \leq \bigvee_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge_{n \geq N} \int_E f_n \\ &= \liminf_n \int_E f_n. \end{aligned}$$